



### PRELIMINARY STATE OF SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORKS IN KZN

DIRECTORATE: SPATIAL PLANNING
BUYISIWE NGUBANE
23 AUGUST 2019

SPLUMA FORUM – ONOMO HOTEL

TOGETHER WE HAVE MADE KZN A BETTER PROVINCE TO LIVE IN. TOGETHER WE WILL MOVE SOUTH AFRICA FORWARD



#### PRESENTATION CONTENT

- 1. ASSESSMENT APPROACH
- 2. VINTAGE ASSESSMENT
- 3. HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS
- 4. ACTIONS TAKEN
- 5. CHALLENGES





### **ASSESSMENT APPROACH**

- Assessments took place from the 22 July 2019 2 August 2019.
- The following cross cutting partners were part of the panel of assessment:-
  - EDTEA
  - STATSSA
  - COGTA DISASTER MANAGEMENT UNIT
  - RURAL DEVELOPMENT
  - COGTA SPATIAL PLANNING
  - DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SETTLEMENT
  - SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT POPULATION UNIT participation not consistent
  - HDA (NOT PART OF THE SESSION BUT PROVIDED COMMENTS FOR ALL LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES EXCEPT DISTRICTS)
  - Agriculture Natural Resources and Risk units Participation was not consistent
  - COGTA Land Use did not attend however comments provided on their section
  - COGTA GIS did not participate
  - MISA not consistent participation
- KZN EZEMVELO did not participate this year but they indicated that they will be liaising directly with municipalities.
- The July 2019 Cross Cutting KRA Assessment focussed mainly on SPLUMA complete in the SDF and IDP

  The July 2019 Cross Cutting KRA Assessment focussed mainly on SPLUMA complete in the SDF and IDP



#### VINTAGE ASSESSMENT

AGE (VINTAGE) OF SDFs IN KZN	% (NO. OF SDFs in 2018)	% no. of SDFs in 2019
2019	n/a	76%**
2018	55% (29)	5%
2017	19% (10)	13%
2016	15% (8)	4%
2010-2015	11% (6)	2%

Note: whilst we note that most SDFs @76% indicated to be done in 2019 – one does not take away the element of front page cover branding.

BACK TO BASICS: SERVING OUR COMMUNITIES BETTER





### HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS UGU

- 1. There is still a need for alignment between Sector plans, the IDP and SDF.
- 2. There is varied Environmental compliance in municipalities (e.g. limited budget alignment vs. proposed projects / IDP not speaking to existing work on the ground). Environmental tools developed by the district not reflecting in some of the municipalities.
- 3. Stats information on the IDP not aligned with that on the SDF or vice versa
- 4. Umdoni SDF a concern however we note that the municipality is currently busy with the review.
- 5. Risk profiling not done or outdated in some cases
- 6. No mapping of disaster risks in the entire family
- 7. Umzumbe municipality has been commended as the only municipality that has shown continual improvement in the budget provision and resources disaster management.





### HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS ILEMBE

- 1. District commended on linking the stats information and analysis.
- 2. Stats data used needs to be improved in some municipalities, with some lacking the mapping on demographics and distribution, including and indication of the data source.
- 3. SPLUMA principles whilst indicated on the document however not unpacked and localised
- 4. The district family in compliance with environmental expectations even though there are improvements to be done. With Mandeni commended on the Coastal Access Plans
- 5. KwaDukuza Mandeni submitted comprehensive housing chapter with estimated costs per project, Gap housing and the KZN MSP was considered, however Ndwedwe needed some improvement
- 6. The district is commended on the role it plays on housing delivery





## HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS UMGUNGUNDLOVU

- 1. There is still a need for alignment between Sector plans, the IDP and SDF.
- 2. Information on the district SDF outdated and vague (stats, policies, projects, mapping limited and not legible etc).
- 3. No long term vision in some municipalities
- 4. Reference made on high agricultural land however no strategies or land categories, however Umgeni commended on its mapping, strategies and plans on agriculture related aspects.
- 5. Impendle SDF not compliant with SPLUMA- needs to be reviewed
- 6. Ecosystem disaster risk reduction not reflected and limited details on climate change, however Umsunduzi commended on the climate change adaptation, mitigation plans being well developed.
- 7. There is varied Environmental compliance in municipalities (lack of EMF integration, Biodiversity Sector Plan, SEA proposals not done). Mpofana not reflecting the environmental challenges facing the municipality





## HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS HARRY GWALA

- 1. Improvement noted on the environmental issues. i.e. Environmental compliance to expectation commended on the district together with the review undertaken on the IWMP for Greater Kokstad.
- Disaster Management improved sector alignment, with updated risks maps and associated budget.
- 3. Some information on environment and disaster missing which was present last year.
- 4. Use of updated and relevant statistics and demographics data commended
- 5. No long term vision for the district





## HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS UTHUKELA

- 1. District EMF needs to be reviewed. AQMPs and climate change response strategies to be developed by the family.
- District family encouraged to improve disaster risk and profiling and alignment thereof with SDF. However Okhahlamba commended on its positive budget on disaster issues.
- 3. Demographic characteristics discussed.
- 4. Whilst key findings are addressed it is recommended that a brief writing accompanies them.
- SPLUMA principles listed and not localised



#### Cogta Department: Cooperative Governence and Tradition DEPOVEMENT OF MANAGEMENT AND ADDRESS OF

#### HIGH LEVEL

- Cooperative Governence and Traditional Affa OBSERVATIONS METRO
  PROVINCE OF KWAZULU-NATAL
- 1. Commended on incorporating climate change issues, together with the environmental tools developed and monitoring thereof
- 2. Drastic improvement on the disaster management issues including alignment of IDP, SDF and the sector plan; budget and profiling.
- 3. Latest Stats data used, with demographics and population distribution clearly stipulated. However use of datasets from StatSA is recommended.
- 4. S21 SPLUMA principles well addressed with alignment to local programs
- 5. Commended on maintaining high value agricultural land.





## HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS UMKHANYAKUDE

- 1. There is varied Environmental compliance in municipalities (e.g. limited financial support is offered). Poor performance in Environmental issues and tools.
- 2. District EMF outcomes not being implemented. Principles of environmental sustainability not reflected.
- 3. No SWOT analysis on the environmental attributes
- 4. Not all demographic characteristics are addressed. However the district improved in terms of data analysis.
- 5. Disaster maps outdated from the district and same used by LMs
- 6. Scheme guidelines not localised
- 7. No CIF which is key in quantification of infrastructure.





## HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS KING CETSHWAYO

- 1. Some documents need to be updated as they still referring to uThungulu and Ntambanana.
- 2. Long term vision not undertaken
- 3. Limited SPLUMA compliance.
- 4. Agricultural compliance is poor across the family.
- 5. No climate change adaptation strategy for some of the municipalities.
- 6. Varied Environmental compliance in municipalities. District applauded for the development of the various environmental tools and Umhlathuze on the comprehensive environmental analysis, however the district needs to align with local municipalities.





## HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS ZULULAND

- 1. SPLUMA partially compliant.
- 2. Implementation Plan and CIF not detailed. CIF of district misaligned with projects of the LMs
- 3. District to have a clear approach on spatial restructuring of LMs. Detailed nodal planning approach, however spatial reconstruction imperatives not talking to Abaqulusi Comparative advantage.
- 4. District WSDP dated 2012, needs to be updated to accommodate changing circumstances. Misalignment in LMs
- 5. Key findings summaries on the demographics to be included. Abaqulusi commended on its approach on statistical data analysis.
- 6. Disaster Management Sector Plan to be attached.
- 7. There is varied Environmental compliance in municipalities. Abaqulusi, uPhongolo and eDumbe commended on detailed analysis.





## HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS UMZINYATHI

- 1. Goals and objective not clearly specified.
- 2. CIF to be included
- 3. Endumeni SDF was not submitted therefore could not be assessed.
- 4. Unofficial statistical data sources used in certain instances
- 5. Umvoti housing chapter commendable
- 6. There is varied Environmental compliance in municipalities. Municipalities encouraged to employ environmental personnel and source funding for developing environmental tools. The district encouraged to seek funding for AQMP and IWMP.





#### HIGH LEVEL Cooperative Governence and Traditional Affice BSERVATIONS AMAJUBA PROVINCE OF KWAZULU-NATAL

- Demographic characteristics covered and addressed. It is recommended that population pyramids need to be developed for the representation of population age cohorts
- NO CIF in eMadlangeni.
- 3. No spatial vision
- SPLUMA principles addressed in detail and linked to 4. PGDP in Newcastle
- 5. Disaster Risk areas need to be mapped.
- 6. is varied Environmental compliance There municipalities

**BACK TO BASICS: SERVING OUR COMMUNITIES BETTER** 



## HIGH LEVEL GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

- 1. There is still a need for alignment between Sector plans, the IDP and SDF.
- 2. Municipal Business units still need to integrate with their planning and implementation plans in the IDP and SDF.
- 3. Agricultural compliance is poor across all districts. Its noted that there is limited support to municipalities to improve the IDP/SDF from the Department of Agriculture (e.g in the area of funding and staff support).
- 4. There is varied Environmental compliance in municipalities
- 5. Midterm estimates to be used.
- 6. Documents raising old information as new information.





## HIGH LEVEL GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

- 7. There was varied compliance with Disaster Management requirements.
- 8. Most municipalities provide Statistics which need refinement and there is a need to consider linking this to the implementation programmes / actions. Use of external sources not encouraged. Comparisons with all stats data not just mentioning one data source. Incorrect referencing of stats data still a challenge.
- 9. Long Term Visions of most municipalities tend to be unrealistic statements and need attention to what, when, how, why and where questions

**BACK TO BASICS: SERVING OUR COMMUNITIES BETTER** 



#### HIGH LEVEL GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

- 10. SPLUMA institutional capacity (by-laws and Tribunals) is in place in most Districts.
- 11. Municipalities have not identified or quantified locational requirements of engineering infrastructure and services provision (capacity) for existing and future development needs for the next five years
- 12. Some municipalities reflected Capital Expenditure over an MTEF period while SPLUMA requires an Expenditure Framework for a municipality's development programmes to be depicted spatially





#### **ACTIONS TAKEN**

- COGTA has developed a Pro-forma SPLUMA Terms of Reference for Municipalities based on the DRDLR SPLUMA guidelines of 2017.
- COGTA is funding 5 district municipalities to develop/review their SDFs in this financial year – i.e. King Cetshwayo, iLembe, Umzinyathi, Zululand and Umgungundlovu.
- 3. Sessions to be held with individual district families on the assessment outcomes and training thereof.
- 4. Municipalities reviewing SDF and requiring attendance to PSC meetings to please also copy carry Mr Sfiso Nkontwana

**BACK TO BASICS:** SERVING OUR COMMUNITIES BETTER



#### **CHALLENGES**

- 1. The assessment of Drafts and Adopted SDFs is onerous on Cross Cutting Partners hence the poor turnout in July/August 2019 whilst some attend these sessions there was a lot of inconsistency with the attendance.
- In some Cross Cutting areas (eg Disaster Management) the District scores high while all the Local Municipalities score poorly which either indicates a lack of support by the district or alignment
- 3. Some Departments need to provide focussed attention in regard to their mandate to improve the IDP/SDFs (eg Departments of Agriculture, Environmental Affairs). Betterms of staff support and funding



#### **CHALLENGES**

- 4. The assessment criteria and the scoring criteria there has been a loud cry from the sectors for these to be reviewed.
- 5. Objectivity in the assessment process.
- 6. Discussion to be held on what can be considered to be outdated in terms of the SDF (in respect of the timeframe/council term)
- 7. Some documents regressed as compared to previous assessment.
- 8. Rebranding the same information as new, by just changing the date on the cover page
- 9. Cut and paste on district documents still a challenge leading to issues not localised.

**BACK TO BASICS:** SERVING OUR COMMUNITIES BETTER

# THANK YOU NGIYABONGA KEA LEBOGA